

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/052,322 01/18/2002 | | Mu-lll Lim | CP-1223 | 1193 | | | |
| 27752 | 7590 | 10/16/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | CTER & GAM | HARDEE | HARDEE, JOHN R | | | | |
| | TUAL PROPE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| | ER HILL AVE | | | | | | |
| CINCINNATI, OH 45224 | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/16/200 | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/052,322 | LIM ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| : | John R Hardee | 1751 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later-than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | • | | | | | |
| · — · · — · · — · · — · · · · · — · | · is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | osecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u> | 5) Notice of Informal | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/052,322

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-6 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 7-23 are withdrawn from consideration by the examiner as being drawn to inventions withdrawn without traverse. The restriction requirement is made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/052,322

Art Unit: 1751

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim et al., US 5,993,491. The reference discloses the use of 2-(2-hydroxyethylaminomethyl)-p-aminophenol as intermediates in hair dye compositions (col. 5, lines 46-47). This is a one-carbon homolog of a compound claimed by applicants. It would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made to prepare the aminoethyl homolog because as a one-carbon homolog of a hair dye intermediate, it would have been expected by the person of ordinary skill in the chemical arts to have similar properties to the disclosed compound.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The closest prior art of record is the reference relied upon above. This reference does not disclose or motivate the preparation of compounds as recited in these claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,322

Art Unit: 1751

- 9. Any prior art made of record and not relied upon is of interest and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (703) 308-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John R. Hardee Primary Examiner October 6, 2003